TAP WP3 final interview questions to be used in interviews with a sample of municipalities about their SUMPs

1. When you were developing your SUMP how much did you think about strategies and/or possible measures based on:

   • Digital accessibility as a substitute for physical mobility

   • Spatial proximity (land use to keep distances short) *For example: might concern urban shape, transit-oriented development, localization of public and private services?*

2. If so, how do strategies consider digital accessibility and spatial proximity? What measures did you consider?

3. Which of these made their way into your SUMP? Why, why not?

4. How easy has it been to implement any of these measures that were included in your SUMP, in comparison to purely transport-related measures? Why?

5. Were colleagues responsible for land-use and digital connectivity included in the SUMP process? How easy or difficult was it to get them to contribute and understand the relevance of their work to the SUMP? Possible alternative formulation: Were competencies regarding both transport, land-use planning and digital accessibility involved in preparing the SUMP? How easy or difficult was it to integrate those different perspectives?

6. Did you consider uncertainty in any way in the development of the SUMP (for example, if you had a model, did you consider external factors that might disrupt the predictions in that model?) or did you basically assume that things would carry on as they always have e.g. growing car ownership, inflation-related fuel price rises and so on? Why? Suggested addition: Do you have previous experience of considering uncertainty, or has uncertainty been considered in other planning processes in the city?

7. What was your experience of trying to work with scenarios? Does your SUMP include scenarios? If so, what are they like? Different packages of measures? Or different visions of the future based on different extrapolations of likely trends and influencing factors? Why, why not? Possible alternative formulation: Did you consider scenarios when preparing the SUMP? If so, which types of scenarios: different packages of measures, different visions of the future, exploration of possible futures, or extrapolations of likely trends? Why, why not? Do you have previous experience of working with scenarios, or have scenarios been considered in other planning processes in the city?

8. In choosing measures, did you consider their resilience to significant future uncertainties? For example, did you consider how investments in new car parks might be influenced by major future increases in fuel prices?

9. How easy would it be to incorporate all of the above (uncertainty, scenarios, integrated land-use, transport and digital accessibility) into future SUMP processes? Suggested addition: Do you consider that your organization has the knowledge and capacity needed? If not, what is missing?

Possible additional question:

10. Which issues were most difficult to handle during the preparation of the SUMP (for example due to lack of political support or support from citizens, deviating viewpoints or priorities)?