

Analytical approach
1) Concepts: resilience / risk / vulnerability
2) Data-enabled transformation pathways (data generation, data circulation, data usage): translating the concepts into data practices with related transformation pathway
a. Data generation: data sources (who has generated the data, mode of engagement in generating and validating them)
b. Data circulation: who has access to the data, flow of data between actors
c. Data usage: what decisions and interventions are the data used for, data gaps
3) Practices: "practised" governance processes
Interview schedule
1) Concepts
How do you define risk / social vulnerability / physical vulnerability / resilience in your work?
How would you define equitable resilience?
What risks are you dealing with? Do these risks vary for different people and in different places across the country / state-departamento / city? Why?
2) Data roles for transformation pathways
a. Data generation
What is the process to define the criteria and measurement thresholds for risk / vulnerabilities x2 / resilience?
What data do you use to measure risk / vulnerabilities x 2 / resilience?
What do you associate with "inclusive data", to what extent do you think the data your entity generates and uses is inclusive?
How are these datasets disaggregated (ethnicity, gender, location etc)?
Does your entity create its own datasets regarding risk / vulnerabilities x 2 / resilience? (this question depends on the entity and governance level of the interview partner)
Do you use data which is not produced by governmental institutions (such as citizen-generated data or social media data)? and follow-on questions: Why (not)? What are your main challenges with such types of data?
What is data quality?
Are there any data gaps (i.e. is there any incomplete information regarding risk / vulnerabilities x2 / resilience - e.g. regarding disaggregation, temporal or spatial scale)?
How representative is in your opinion the data of the different neighbourhoods in a (or the, depending on who the interview partner is) city?

In an ideal world, and if it could be financed, what dataset(s) would you like to have regarding risk / vulnerability x2 / resilience (e.g. data from administrative records, such as health etc.)?
<i>b. Data circulation</i>
Where are these datasets stored (who has access)?
How are the results of these assessment communicated to the relevant stakeholders?
Is there an option for the stakeholders to feed back?
<i>c. Data usage</i>
Methodology: how do you use the data for assessing risk / vulnerability x2 / resilience?
What decisions are being made with that data?
Who uses that data?
<u>3) Practices</u>
What is the mandate of your entity regarding DRM?
With which other entities at the same or different government levels do you collaborate? Prompt: how do you collaborate?
What role do you see for communities regarding DRM?
To what extent do you think DRM governance is efficient? (Prompt: what would you suggest needs to improve in an ideal world?)
To what extent do you think DRM governance at the national/state-departamento/city level "leaves no one behind" / addresses the needs of the most vulnerable?