**Read me**

We appreciate your interest in our data set. Please read this file to navigate more quickly through the contents of this data set.

Folder Content and Description:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Folder name | File name | Description |
| - | ‘\_Read\_me.docx’ | The present document includes information that should be read before reviewing any data. |
| ‘1\_Documentation’ | *‘1.1\_Consent*  *\_Form\_empty.docx’* | An empty consent form used for interviewees. It was signed by the participants. |
| *‘1.2\_Retrospective\_Consent*  *\_Form\_empty.docx’* | An empty retrospective consent form used for interviewees. It was signed by the participants after they were contacted to provide authorisation specifically about data sharing. |
| *‘1.3\_Participant\_Information*  *\_Sheet.doc’* | A copy of the participant information sheet that was given to each participant before the interview process. |
| ‘2\_Data’ | ‘2.1\_Interview\_Transcripts’  List of files:   * *‘t\_01.docx’* * *‘t\_02.docx’* * *‘t\_03.docx’* * *‘t\_04.docx’* * *‘t\_05.docx’* * *‘t\_06.docx’* * *‘t\_07.docx’* * *‘t\_08.docx’* * *‘t\_09.docx’* * *‘t\_10.docx’* * *‘t\_11. docx’* * *‘t\_12.docx’* * *‘t\_13.docx’* * *‘t\_14.docx’* * *‘t\_15.docx’* * *‘t\_16.docx’* * *‘t\_17.docx’* * *‘t\_18.docx’* * *‘t\_19.docx’* * *‘t\_20.docx’* * *‘t\_21.docx’* * *‘t\_22.docx’* * *‘t\_23.docx’* * *‘t\_24.docx’* | The folder contains twenty four anonymised interview transcripts. We obtained retrospective consent for data sharing from twenty four participants, from a total of forty seven interviewees. |

Level of Access: Open to authorised researchers.

Embargo Date: No embargo date.

License:

The article linked to this data set is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Methods employed:

To represent stakeholder opinion, 47 interviews were conducted with stakeholders from across all four devolved nations of the UK, with each having energy and/or transport poverty expertise; of 47 interviewees, 41 policy ranks were recorded. Stakeholders were selected on the basis of their apparent knowledge in UK energy poverty, transport poverty and/or decarbonisation policy, and were selected to be likely to represent a range of expertise and interests (there was a with a relatively equal split of energy and transport poverty expertise among the interviewees). We sought a relatively equal number of experts from different backgrounds, on the premise that experts from different backgrounds may take different views. However while we did look for patterns in this regard, qualitatively and quantitatively, we did not observe it. Contact details for the experts came from databases associated with the larger research programme of which this study was a part, plus snowballing.