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The following files have been archived:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| File name | File description (Short description of content, sample size, format, any linking between different types of data, i.e. survey and interviews/focus groups) |
| **Link to OSF repository** | **All OSF repositories contain:** |
| Output 1, Experiment 1: <https://osf.io/bwyr8/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for the experiment (sample size = 96), 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 1, Experiment 2: <https://osf.io/q7jwf/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment (sample size=48), 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 1, Experiment 3: <https://osf.io/mf7s5/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size = 56) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 1, Experiment 4: <https://osf.io/q6agj/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size =92) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 1, Experiment 5: <https://osf.io/cbtmk/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size=105) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 2, Experiment 1 - <https://osf.io/tc976/>. | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size = 40) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 2, Experiment 2 - <https://osf.io/5ne72/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size = 32) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 3, Experiment 1 - <https://osf.io/ksajx/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size = 30) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 3, Experiment 2 - <https://osf.io/5hvqg/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size = 30) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 3, Experiment 3 - <https://osf.io/nesxh/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size = 36) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 4, Experiment 1 - <https://osf.io/48t3h/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size = 72) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 4, Experiment 2 - <https://osf.io/udz9g/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size = 88) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Output 4, Experiment 3 - <https://osf.io/y48fz/> | 1. a CSV file containing the trial-level data for each experiment, (sample size = 46) 2. a text or html file containing a description of each column in the CSV file, 3. an R script to allow users to replicate the statistical analyses that were reported in the associated publication 4. a separate R script that holds code for specialised functions, necessary for the analyses above (3) to function. |
| Files for remaining experiments will be made available upon acceptance of manuscripts for publication. |  |
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