**SOCIAL ORGANIZATION SURVEY TEMPLATE ON SYNERGIES BETWEEN MITIGATION AND ADAPTATION**

Interview Number: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Organization ID: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of organization:

Indicate sub-unit if relevant

Interviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Place: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: wording in { } brackets is explanatory text aimed at the interviewer to highlight specific aspects of the survey. It is thought for internal use.

# **Organizational Efforts on** **mitigation and adaptation**

**Introduction**

The first section of the questionnaire investigates how much efforts your organization dedicates to climate change mitigation, adaptation and related activities.

Before starting we would like to specify what we mean but climate change mitigation and adaptation:

By climate change mitigation we mean: a human intervention to reduce the sources or enhance the sinks of greenhouse gases.

By climate change adaptation we mean: an adjustment in natural or human environment in response to climatic impacts, which reduces harm.

Question Q1 : Please indicate the amount of effort your organization typically devotes to each theme **related to climate change**, as a percentage term of overall activities.

Please note: percentages do not need to add to 100% as there are overlaps in the categories below and there are other activities your organization is likely to be involved in, just indicate your general perception about the percentage of effort for each item.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| TABLE Q1. ORGANIZATIONAL EFFORT  |  |
| MAIN AREAS | % of overall activities(from 0-100) |
| 1. Adaptation [coping with impacts of climate change] of forests, agriculture or livelihoods
 |  |
| 1. Mitigation [reduction of carbon emissions] in forests, agriculture or other land-use
 |  |
| 1. Actions that deliver both adaptation and mitigation benefits
 |  |
| 1. Sustainable development and climate change
 |  |
| 1. Sustainable development (no specific focus on climate change)
 |  |
| MAIN SECTORAL FOCUS |  |
| 1. Forestry sector
 |  |
| 1. Agricultural sector
 |  |

Question Q2:

Next we want to talk about the position of (ORG NAME) on some of the linkages between adaptation and mitigation. In Table Q2, these issues are stated in a one-sided manner to allow agreement or disagreement. Please circle the number that best reflects the level of agreement/disagreement of (ORG NAME)’s typical policy stance with the issue as stated. If you feel there is no specific or implied position of your organizations on one of the issues you can tick ‘neither agree nor disagree’. If the topic is unknown to you and your organization please tick ‘not known/no response’

**NOTE:**

{the choice ‘not known/no response’ should also be ticked in cases when the respondent does not know or does not respond}

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| TABLE Q2. ORGANIZATIONAL STANCES ON M, A and Synergies | Not known / no response | Stronglydisagree  | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Stronglyagree |
| **Trade-offs and priorities** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Focusing on actions that deliver both adaptation and mitigation benefits…… |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ……..**reduces** the **effectiveness of mitigation actions** *(as compared to just doing mitigation)*
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. …. **reduces** the **effectiveness** of **adaptation actions** *(as compared to just doing adaptation)*
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. …… will **weaken the political agenda** of either mitigation or adaptation
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Adaptation of vulnerable people should be a **higher priority** than reducing emissions
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| **Synergies** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. **Forestry and agriculture-related actions** can deliver both adaptation and mitigation benefits
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Actions that deliver both adaptation and mitigation benefits lead to **more positive results** *(as compared to doing adaptation and mitigation separately)*
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| **Actions supporting synergies** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. **Certifications and standards** (e.g. CCBA, PlanVivo) help to deliver both adaptation and mitigation benefits
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. The best way to deliver both mitigation and adaptation benefits is through **ecosystem-based approaches** (*e.g. measures that use environmental goods and services for mitigation or adaptation*)
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| **Benefits of integrated approaches** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. **Funding is easier to obtain** for actions delivering both adaptation and mitigation benefits *(as compared to just adaptation or just mitigation actions)*
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Including adaptation activities in mitigation strategies is necessary for the **long-term sustainability** of emissions reduction (permanency)
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Including mitigation activities in adaptation strategies will provide **more funding** for adaptation (e.g. from carbon credits)
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Including adaptation activities in mitigation strategies will ensure more **benefits for the local community** and consequently local engagement
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Actions that deliver both adaptation and mitigation benefits are **better for the environment** and the provision of ecosystem services
 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Question Q3:

Next, we have a list of possible challenges to pursuing joined adaptation and mitigation goals. Please indicate, whether (ORG NAME) agrees or not that these statements, adding ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ in the respective column. For all positive answers also order the responses from the most important (1) to the least important challenge (up to 6).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Linking adaptation and mitigation is difficult....** | Do you agree with the statement? (Yes /No)  | If yes, order the stances according the importance (1-6 or 7): 1 =most important 6 or 7=least important  |
| 1. …because different actors have **different** **priorities** with regards to adaptation and mitigation
 |  |  |
| 1. …because current climate change **policy frameworks** treat them as separate action arenas
 |  |  |
| 1. ...because of insufficient **technical knowledge** and **guidance** about addressing them together
 |  |  |
| 1. …because it makes **implementation more complex**
 |  |  |
| 1. …because there is **little dialogue** between adaptation and mitigation actors
 |  |  |
| 1. …because **coordinating**the **multiple actors** across **sectors** and **scales** is very complex
 |  |  |
| 1. Other: specify:

………………………………………………………………………………………… |  |  |

# **Participation in formal bodies and multi-actor, multi-level forums**

{It is important that these bodies and forums are uniquely identified, best if with the correct names, meaning that the interviewer knows what they refer to, and we can make sure that when another respondent mentions the same body or forum it is recorded a such.}

Question P 1:{PARTICIPATION IN FORMAL BODIES }

P 1: Are you or another representative of [ORGNAME] a member of any formal body (working groups, committee, commissions etc.) that deals with **climate change mitigation AND/OR adaptation policies or actions**?

P 1.1: If, yes: Could you please list the names of these bodies below?

P 1.2: Have you or others discussed pursuing mitigation and adaptation goals simultaneously?

P 1.3: Does any of these bodies include actors from different administrative levels (national, province, district)?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Names | Integration (Y/ N) | Multi-level(Y/ N) |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |

P 2: Has [ORGNAME] participated in any other forums that bring together a **variety of different actors** working on both **mitigation AND adaptation** in the last 12 months?

P 2.1: {If, yes:} Could you please list the names of these forums?

P 2.2: Have you or others discussed pursuing mitigation and adaptation goals simultaneously in any of these forums?

P 2.3: Does any of these forums include actors from different jurisdictions (national, province, district)?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Names | Integration(Y/ N) | Multi-level(Y/ N) |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |

# **Networking among Organizations**

# {Instructions for researcher: Preparation of Network Question Answer sheet: Prepare the answersheets with the complete list of organizations (identical lists, see template below). Order organizations under the respective headings and within headings list first national level actors followed by sub-national level actors (local actors should be clearly identified as such = e.g. Forestry Services, Pontianak).

**Network Questions:**

This section investigates networking activities with other organizations working on mitigation and adaptation in forest, agriculture and related land use systems. It identifies and traces different types of **relations** between organizations. Questions refer to the influence of other organizations, regular communication and sustained collaboration.

Please answer each question by putting a tick by the relevant organizations from the following list. There is also space at the bottom for you to add important organizations/actors if they are not on the list.

Question N1 and N2: *{Influence - subjective}*

Please indicate those organizations that stand out as **especially influential** on domestic **mitigation policies** and those that stand out as **especially influential** on domestic **adaptation** by putting a tick in the respective boxes.

Question N3. and N4:*{Regular COMMUNICATION and INFORMATION EXCHANGE }*

Please indicate those organizations with which (ORGNAME) **regularly exchanges information about** **mitigation** and– in a separate column - thosewith whom it regularly exchanges information about **adaptation** policy and actions (tick respective boxes).

{When the respondent has ticked both columns, the interviewer has to identify those organizations that have been checked for BOTH mitigation and adaptation information exchange, and ask:}

 Question N5:

Among those organizations which whom (ORGNAME) **regularly exchanges information on BOTH mitigation and adaptation** issues, can you indicate those with whom it has discussed **integration of mitigation and adaptation objectives**?

Question N6 and N7: *{INFORMAL COALITIONS}*

The next question is on collaboration by which we mean: **working together towards a common goal**. Please indicate those organizations with which your organization **regularly collaborates** concerning **climate change mitigation** - column 1 – and those with whom it regularly collaborates on **adaptation** related issues – column 2, by ticking the appropriate boxes.

{When the respond has ticked both columns, the interviewer has to identify those organizations that have been checked for BOTH mitigation and adaptation information exchange, and ask:}

Question N8:

Among those with whom it **collaborates on BOTH mitigation and adaptation**, can you indicate those with whom it collaborates on efforts to **integrate M and A objectives?**