Asylum Appeals Project: Pro Forma

RESEARCHER:			
DATE:			
LOCATION:	-		
HEARING ROOM	I NO.:	ace in order of hearings in room (e.g. 2/3):	
			UO
START TIME:	UO W	/ITHDRAWAL: OY ON	
		DJOURNMENT: OY ON	
FINISH TIME	UO If	Adjourned, New Date:	UO
NO. OF BREAK(S): UO TOTAL DURAT	ΓΙΟΝ (INCL. <u>ALL</u> BREAKS):	
			UO
CASE DETAILS	Appeal Ref. Number:	Reporting Restrictions: OY ON	UO
		Anonymity Directions: OY ON	UO UO
	AA/	Previously adjourned hearing?	UO
			00
		Name of IJ who previously heard this case:	
			UO
		Previously heard in UT?: OY ON	uo
APPELLANT	Gender: OM OF O	UO Age:	UO
	Minor: OY ON ODIS	SPUTED UO Address (city only):	UO
	Nationality given by Appellant:		UO UO
	Nationality (other - if contested):		UO
	Currently detained: OY	N UO NASS support: O Y O N	UO
SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES	Type of application:	At port	UO UO
133023	Length of time in UK (approx):		uo
	Length of time since asylum claims	ed (approx):	UO UO
	Fresh claim?:	N	UO UO
	Convention Reason:		
	Race Religion Nationality	Political Opinion PSG None	UO UO
	Comments (specify if observed or inferred):		

	Human Right	s:	
		Article 3 Article 8 Other:	UO
	Comments (specify if ob or inferred):	served	
	Type of claim	n (e.g. LTTE supporter, Ahmadi, gay/lesbian, FGM):	UO UO
	Appellant's c	redibility raised: O Y N	UO
PARTIES PRESENT	Judge/s	Name:	UO UO
FRESEIVI		Gender: OM OF O	UO
		Senior: OY ON	UO UO
		Designated: OY ON	UO
		O Part Time (fee-based) Full Time (salaried)	uo
	НОРО	Name:	UO UO
		Gender: OM OF O	υο
		Barrister: OY ON	UO
		If Barrister, what Chambers:	UO
	LR	Solicitor's Firm:	UO
		Name:	UO
		Gender: OM OF O	UO
		Is the LR: C Legal aid Private	UO
		Barrister: OY ON	UO
		If Barrister, what Chambers:	UO UO
	Interpreter	Language:	UO
		Gender: OM OF O	UO
		Type: CAPITA Private	UO UO
	Witness(es)	No. of witnesses:	UO
	Expert(s)	Expert present? O Y O N	UO
		Type (e.g. medical):	UO UO
		Gender: OM OF O	UO UO
		Who called for expert? O HOPO C LR	UO

	Others	No. of others present:	UO	ases heard toge	ther?		
		Type(s) (e.g. family, HO obser	ver):	O Y	O N		UO UO
		1.	UO	If yes, no.	of Appellants:		
		2.	UO	Relationsh	nip of Appellants	s to each c	other:
		3.	UO UO	Family:	O Y O	N	UO UO
		4.	UO	Other:	O Y O	N	UO UO
		5.	UO				
		6.	UO UO				
Section 1: Introd	luction						
1.1.a. State their	independence	e (from Home Office etc)			OY	O N	UO
1.1.b. Explain cle	early to all part	ies present how the hearing w	ill proceed	Î	O Y	O N	UO
1.1.c. Explain cle	arly to all part	ies present what the purpose o	of the hear	ing is	OY	ON	UO UO
1.1.d. Introduce	themselves ar	d the HOPO to the Appellant			O Y	O N	UO UO
1.1.e. Ensure tha	it names are co	orrectly pronounced			OY	ON	☐ UO
1.1.f. Ensure tha	t all parties ha	ve the same documentation to	refer to		OY	O N	UO UO
1.1.g. Explain tha	at it is possible	to/how to ask for a break			O Y	O N	UO UO
1.1.h. Make the	A aware that t	hey are to say if they do not ur	nderstand	anything	OY	O N	UO UO
1.1.i. Comments	;						
1.2. Is an interpreter present? O Y O N UO If yes, does the IJ:							
1.2.a. Check und	erstanding be	tween the interpreter and the	appellant		OY	O N	UO
1.2.b. Provide gu	idance for cor	nmunication throughout the h	earing		OY	O N	UO UO
1.2.c. Comments	s: 						

Section 2: Waiting/Delays/Breaks 2.1. How long has the Appellant waited on the day for their hearing to begin?

Time: UO				
If the Appellant has had to wait, what is the reason for this?				
2.2.a. Other cases were heard before		OY	O N	UO
2.2.b. Party/parties late to the hearing		OY	O N	UO UO
2.2.c. If yes, who:	UO UO			
2.2.d. Reason given:	U0			
2.2.e. Bundles/evidence delayed		O Y	ON	UO UO
2.2.f. If so, whose:	UO			
2.2.g. Reason given:	□ UO			
2.2.h. Other:		O Y	O N	UO UO
2.2.i. Who:	UO			
2.2.j. Reason given:	UO UO			
2.2.k. Comments:				
2.3. Were there any breaks? O Y N				UO UO
If yes, who requested them, for what reason, and were they gra	anted, and why?			
Break 1	Break 2			
2.3.a. Who:	2.3.e. Who:			UO
2.3.b. Reason: UO	2.3.f. Reason:			UO
2.3.c. Granted: UO	2.3.g. Granted:			UO UO
2.3.d. Reason:	2.3.h. Reason:			UO UO
2.4.a. Were there any other interruptions to the hearing?	O Y	O N		UO
2.4.b. Comments:				

Section 3: Judges

What is the overall behaviour of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{IJ}}$ throughout the hearing?

	Not at	all	N	Moderately		Extremely	UO	
3.1.a. Confrontational	O 1	O 2	(3	O 4	O 5		
3.1.b. Impatient	O 1	O 2	(3	O 4	O 5		
3.1.c. Interruptive	O 1	O 2	(3	O 4	O 5		
3.1.d. Attentive	O 1	O 2	(3	O 4	O 5		
3.1.e. Friendly	O 1	O 2	(3	O 4	O 5		
3.1.f. Is the IJ inconsiste	ent in the	eir behaviour towa	ards dif	ferent acto	rs? O Y	O N		UO
3.1.g. If yes, explain (i.e	e. to whic	h actor, and in wl	nat mar	nner):				
3.2. Does the IJ ask the	ir own qı	uestions?			O Y	ON		UO
If yes, are these:								la constant
3.2.a. For clarification of	or furthe	r elaboration?				OY	ON	UO UO
3.2.b. Inquisitorial (sub	stantive,	independent que	stion)?			OY	O N	UO UO
3.3. Which of the followEnabling3.3.a. Comments:	wing bes	describes the rol	e adopt	ted by the	943			UO UO
3.4. Does the IJ make e		ct when addressir Sometimes	ng the A	sppellant?		etimes 20-79%; Fre	equently 80-100%	6) UO
3.5. Does the IJ at any preaming of the question					<i>duction</i> check th	at the Appella	nt understand	
	O	Υ	O	N				UO
3.6. Does the IJ ask the	LR to mo	ove on from their Y	oral exa	amination i N	n chief by referr	ing to how mu	ch there is to g	get through?
3.7. Does the IJ ask que	estions of	fanything more th Y	nan a cla	arificatory N	nature during th	e cross examin	ation by the H	IOPO?
3.7.a. Comments:								

Section 4: Appellant								
4.1. Is the Appellant pr	esent?				OY	O N		
4.2.a. Is the Appellant a	a minor	r?	OY	O N	ODISPUTED	UO UO		
4.2.b. If a minor, were	they ur	naccompanied	on their arrival	in to the UK?	OY	O N		UO UO
4.2.c. Is the Appellant a	a forme	er unaccompan	nied minor?		OY	O N		UO UO
4.2.d. If a minor, or sus			S S	res taken by the	e IJ to ens	ure that the	e hearing is cond	ucted in a
4.2.e. Comments:								
4.2 latha Annallant/a	¥#:	0 0	1			Farra et		Пио
4.3. Is the Appellant's a		Cası		Smart-casual	O V	Formal		UO
4.4. If using an interpre					O Y	O N		uo
What is the overall beh		or the Appella at all		ne nearing? derately		Extreme	ly UO	
4.5.a. Distressed					1	or without the later of		
	O 1		2	3	4	O 5		
4.5.b. Confrontational	0 1		2 0	3	4	O 5		
4.5.c. Nervous	O 1		2	3	4	O 5		
4.5.d. Assertive	0 1		2 0	3 0	4	O 5		
4.5.e. Softly spoken	O 1		2	3	4	O 5		
4.5.f. Short replies	O 1		2	3	4	O 5		
4.5.g. Attentive	O 1	0	2	3	4	5		
4.5.h. Comments:								
4.6. Is the IJ informed o	of any ł	nealth issues of	f the Appellant	which might aff	ect their a	ability to co	ntinue the hearin	g?
	0	Υ	O N					UO
4.6.a. If yes, do these p	ertain	to: O N	Mental health	Phy	sical heal	th (Both	UO
4.6.b. If yes, what cour	se of a	ction is taken k	y the IJ? (e.g. b	reak offered, ac	ljournme	nt, no actio	n)	
								UO

Section 5: Representation	<u>on</u>						
5.1. Is the Appellant repr	esent	ed? OY	(O N	[If No, go	to Question 5.5.]	
Represented							
5.2.a. Does the LR appea	r to be	e ill-prepared?					
	0	Υ	0	N			UO UO
5.2.b. Comments:							
ENT 100 NV 1000 V	5854 char	N 107 W	NATA NATA	MAGNINI SSC WITS OF THE	b(EM		
5.2.c. Does the LR speak	the fir	st language of the A	Appell	ant (if not English) N)?		U0
5.2.d. Does the LR exami	ne the		confi		nent?		
J.Z.d. DOCS the ENCAUM	0	ү Ү	O	N	ilene:		UO UO
5.2.e. Does the LR re-exa	mine	the Appellant?					
	0	Υ	0	N			UO
5.2.f. Comments:							
5.2.g. If asking more that group of questions as the	n two	questions, does the arrived at?	LR inc	dicate a structure	to their ex	kamination-in-chief and sign	oost each
	0	Υ	0	N	0	NA	UO UO
5.2.h. Comments:							
5.2.i. Does the LR introdu	ice ne	w evidence in their	subm	ission?			
	O	Υ	0	N			UO
5.3. How often does the	LR ma	ke eye contact with	the A	\? (Rarely 0-19%; Somet	times 20-79%	Frequently 80-100%)	
	0	Rarely	0	Sometimes	0	Frequently	UO
5.3.a Comments:							

What is the behaviour of the LR towards the Appellant?								
	Not at all		Moderately		Extremely	UO		
5.4.a. Confrontational	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5			
5.4.b. Impatient	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5			
5.4.c. Familiar	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5			
5.4.d. Attentive	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5			
5.4.e. Friendly	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5			
5.4.f. Comments:								
Unrepresented								
5.5. Is an explanation g	OY	k of representat	ion?) N				UO UO	
5.5.a. Prior LR no longe		Annellant			O Y	O N	UO	
5.5.b. Represented on			the hearing		O Y	O N	UO	
5.5.c. Appellant has ne	- N		ine nearing		O Y	O N	□ uo	
5.5.d. LR has notified T	n n n					O N	☐ UO	
					O Y		UO	
5.5.e. Tribunal has trie	Access to the second se			em ent		O N		
5.5.f. LR has decided no	ot to attend bas	ed on legal ald	unresnoid asses	sment	O Y	O N	UO	
5.5.g. Other					OY	O N	UO UO	
5.5.h. Other Reason:								
5.6.a If unrepresented, are special measures taken by the IJ to ensure the Appellant is able to follow the procedure of the hearing? O V UO								
5.6.b. If yes, what spec	ial measures?							
5.6.c. If unrepresented, is the A offered the opportunity to give a submission by the IJ? O Y O N UO								
5.6.d. If yes, does the Appellant give a submission?								

Section 6: HOPOs							
6.1. Is the Home Office	represented?	OY	O N				
What is the behaviour	of the HOPO to	owards the App	ellant?				
	Not at all		Moderately		Extremely	UO	
6.2.a. Aggressive	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
6.2.b. Accusatory	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
6.2.c. Skeptical	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
6.2.d. Overly confident	1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
6.2.e. Friendly	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
6.2.f. Attentive	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
6.2.g. Frustrated	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
C.2 h. Commonto	1000 1	Y	10 P.F. 1 F.	P 0 5	-1.F	1. 7	6
6.2.h. Comments (esp. 1	HOPOS use of: Col	mpiex questions w	ith conditionals; Lea	ading questions; Do	ouble negatives; valu	e laden questio	ns; Statements):
6.3. Does the HOPO ma		20 20 g	Leave .	and the second s		-79%; Frequently	
	O Rarel	У	Sometimes		Frequently		UO
6.4. Does the HOPO ap	pear to be ill-p	repared?	O N				UO
6.5. Does the HOPO as	k overly compl	ex questions, o		ions within a gu	uestion/at once?		
	O' Y	,	O N				UO
6.5.a. If yes, does the I	J request the H	IOPO simplify t	neir question to	the appellant?			UO
C. C. b. If asking mare th		one does the U		structure to the	ir avamination in	shiof and si	5-6-200-2
6.5.b. If asking more the group of questions as t	they are arrived			structure to the		-cnier and sig	
	O Y		N	O	NA		UO
6.6. Does the Appellan	t appear to <i>not</i>	t <i>understand</i> th	e question pose	d by the HOPO	at any point duri	ng the hearin	ng? UO
If yes, does the HOPO:			O N				
50 31 T	(MAC)				2000		125 12448
6.6.a. Rephrase the qu					OY	O N	UO
6.6.b. Repeat the ques	tion				OY	O N	uo
6.6.c. Other					OY	O N	UO
6.6.d. Other action tak	en:						UO

6.7. Does the Appellant appear to be <i>evasive</i> in answering a question/s posed by the HC	OPO at any point during the
hearing?	UO
If yes, does the HOPO:	
6.7.a. Rephrase the question	O Y O N UO
6.7.b Repeat the question	O Y O N UO
6.7.c. Other	O Y O N UO
6.7.d. Other action taken:	UO
6.8. Does the HOPO introduce new evidence in their submission, not covered in the cross	ss-examination?
6.8.a. If yes, is this challenged? O Y N	UO UO
Section 7: Interpretation and communication	
7.1. Is an interpreter present? [If Yes, go to Question 7.3]	O N UO
No Interpreter	
7.2. If no interpreter is present, are the any communication problems? O N	UO
7.2.a. Comments (e.g. on accent and slang):	
7.2.b. Does the IJ express any doubt about the decision to not use an interpreter, with t interpreter is required?	he implication that they think an
Interpreter Present 7.3. Does the IJ make any effort to ensure that the IT and A understand each other? \(\text{\tilit{\texi{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi{\texi{\text{\texi{\text{\texi{\texi{\texi\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\tex{	Uo
7.3.a. If yes, which of the following best describes the approach taken by the IJ?	
IJ simply asks IT and Appellant whether they understand each other	0
IJ asks IT and Appellant whether they understand each other and instructs them to test communicate by engaging in a short dialogue	their ability to
Other	
	0
7.3.b. Other approach:	0

7.4.a. Does the IT need g	guidance or prompting reg	arding	g the procedure? N				UO UO
7.4.b. Does the IT adhere	e to the procedure? Y	0	N				UO UO
	m simultaneous interpreta	ition o	of speech not directe	ed at th	e Appellant? (Rare	ly 0-19%	; Sometimes 20-
79%; Frequently 80-100%)	Rarely	0	Sometimes	0	Frequently		UO
7.4.d. Is the IT reminded	to perform simultaneous Y	interp	oretation of speech r N	ot dire	cted at the A?		UO UO
7.4.e. At any point in the	hearing does the IT overs	tep tł	neir role, such as givi N	ng thei	r opinion to the IJ	, or pro	oviding evidence
7.4.f. If yes, does the IJ st	top them from doing so? Y	0	N				UO UO
7.4.g. Comments:							
7.4.h. At any point in the	hearing is the IT <i>asked</i> to	overs	step their role, such a N	as to tr	anslate document	s or pro	ovide evidence?
7.4.i. If yes, who has requ	uested them to do so?	0	НОРО	0	LR	<u>О</u> А	ppellant
7.4.j. Does the IT agree to	o do this? Y	0	N				UO UO
7.4.k. Comments:							
7.5. Is a break requested	by the IT?						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	OY	0	N				UO
7.5.a. If yes, is it allowed	by the IJ?	0	N				UO
7.6. Are there problems	between the IT and Appel	lant w		t/accen	t?		UO
7.6.a. If yes, how does th	ne IJ respond to these prob	olems	?				i i
							_ uo
7.7. Are there significant	problems with the flow o	f inte	rpretation or frequei	nt conf	usion over certain	words	? UO

7.7.a. If yes, explain (e.g.	confusion is related to	use of legal terms	, etc):	_
				L UO
7.9. Dogg any north syner	and dispatisfaction with	the guality of inte	roratation at any point throughout the	hooring?
7.6. Does any party expre	O Y	N N	rpretation at any point throughout the l	UO
If yes, which party and fo	r what reason? (e.g. int	erpreter overstep	s role/relational issue)	
7.8.a. Party:				UO
7.8.b. Reason:				UO
7.9. Does the Appellant o	or LR seek to give evider	nce in two languag	res?	UO
7.9.a. If yes, does the IJ a	llow it?			
	O Y	O N		UO UO
7.10. Does the IJ express	any doubt about wheth	ner the IT is neede N	d?	UO
7.10.a. Comments:				
Section 8: Witnesses				
8.1. Are there any witnes	sses?	O N		UO
8.1.a. If yes, how many w	vitnesses are there? (If r	more than 3, pleas	e note in comments below):	UO UO
Who are the witnesses? (e.g. family, friend, faith	group member)		
8.1.b. Witness 1:				UO
8.1.c. Gender:	Ом	O F	0 0	UO
8.1.d. Witness 2:				UO UO
8.1.e. Gender:	Ом	O F	0 0	UO
8.1.f. Witness 3:				UO UO
8.1.g. Gender:	<u>О</u> М	O F	O 0	UO UO

8.2. Do any witnesses g	give evidence be	eyond acknowle	edging their writ	ten statement?			UO
If yes, who are the witr	nesses examined	d by (mark all th	nat apply)?				
8.2.a. Witness 1:	LR		НОРО	III.			UO
8.2.b. Witness 2:	LR		НОРО	u			UO
8.2.c. Witness 3:	LR		НОРО	n			UO
8.3. Do any of the witn	esses use an int	erpreter?	Y	O N			UO
What is the behaviour	of the witness?						
Witness 1							
	Not at all		Moderately		Extremely	UO	
8.4.a. Distressed	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.4.b. Confrontational	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.4.c. Nervous	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.4.d. Assertive	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.4.e. Softly spoken	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.4.f. Short replies	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.4.g. Attentive	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.4.h. Comments:							
Witness 2							
	Not at all		Moderately		Extremely	UO	
8.5.a. Distressed	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.5.b. Confrontational	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.5.c. Nervous	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.5.d. Assertive	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.5.e. Softly spoken	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.5.f. Short replies	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.5.g. Attentive	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5		
8.5.h. Comments:							

Witness 3						
	Not at all		Moderately	<u>,</u>	Extremely	UO
8.6.a. Distressed	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
8.6.b. Confrontational	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
8.6.c. Nervous	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
8.6.d. Assertive	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
8.6.e. Softly spoken	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
8.6.f. Short replies	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
8.6.g. Attentive	O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
8.6.h. Comments:						
Section 9 : Experts and	l Expert Evide	<u>nce</u>				
9.1. Are there any expe	erts?		ON			□ Ud
9.1.a. If yes, what type		thev?le a m		necialist):		
5.1.a. ii yes, what type	or expert are	dicy: (c.g. ii	realear, courtry sp	eciansej.		Ud
9.1.b. What kind of evi	dence are the	y giving? (e.g	g. expert evidence	on sexuality in	country of origin)	U
9.2. Do any experts giv	e evidence be	yond acknov	vledging their writ	ten statement?		
the same of the sa	O Y	■ Production in the Production of Control o	O N			U U
9.2.a. If yes, who are th	ne experts exa	mined by?				
НОРО:	UO					
IJ:	UO					
9.2.b. Comments:						
9.3. Is expert evidence	used (e.g. me	dical report)	without the prese	ence of the expe	ert?	Ud
9.3.a. If yes, by whom	77 000	ed?				
	C LR		Appellant		О НОРО	U
9.3.b. Comments:						

Section 10: Adjournments				
10.1. Is an adjournment request made? OY				
10.1.a. If yes, by whom? C LR O HOPO O IJ	APPELLANT		UO UO	
What reason/s are given for requesting adjournment?				
10.1.b. More evidence required	OY	ON	uo	
10.1.c. Illness of Appellant	O Y	O N	UO	
10.1.d. Lack of legal representation	O Y	O N	UO UO	
10.1.e. Disputed age of Appellant	OY	O N	UO	
10.1.f Appellant's case should be heard in relation to a connected case case/hearing	O Y	O N	UO	
10.1.g. Translation of documents	O Y	O N	UO	
10.1.h. To take instructions from HO senior or solicitor	OY	O N	UO	
10.1.i. Other	O Y	O N	UO UO	
10.1.j. If other, reason given:			UO UO	
10.1.k. Is the request granted by the IJ? 10.1.l. How long does it take the IJ to reach this decision from the point of request? Time: 10.1.m. Does the IJ explain to the Appellant what is happening once a decision to granted Adjournment granted	nt or deny an a	djournment	is reached?	
10.2. Is a date given for a new hearing? Y N			UO UO	
10.2.a. If yes, what is the new date given?			UO UO	
10.2.b . How long does it take to reach agreement on a new date?				
Time: UO				
10.2.c. Does the IJ reserve the case for themselves to preside on?			UO UO	
10.2.d If yes, what is the reason given?				
			UO	

Adjournment Refused 10.3. What reason does the IJ give for refusing the adjournment request? UO **Section 11: Withdrawals** 11.1. Is a withdrawal made? Ν 11.1.a. If yes, by whom? **HOPO** UO LR 11.1.b. When is the withdrawal made? Prior to the hearing During the hearing UO 11.1.c. Does the opposing representative formally object to the withdrawal? UO 11.1.d. Does the IJ express a view on the withdrawal? UO 11.1.e. If yes, what is the IJ's position? **Section 12: Determination and Conclusion** 12.1. Does the IJ give a determination in the hearing? UO Ν 12.1.a. If no, does the IJ explain why a determination is not given during the hearing? UO 12.2. Does the IJ give a time frame for a determination to be made? UO 12.2.a If yes, what time frame is given (e.g. 10 days, 2-3 weeks, 1 month)? Time frame: UO 12.3. Does the IJ offer for the Appellant to make any final statements? UO Υ Ν 12.3.a. Does the Appellant make a final statement? UO

12.3.b. Comments:

	13.1.a. Asked for your name/affiliations	13.1.b. Asked why you wished to attend hearing	13.1.c. Asked you to leave hearing	13.1.d. Asked for information on project
IJ				
НОРО				
Appellant				
LR				
Interpreter				
Clerk/s				
Clerk/s – on behalf of IJ				
Security				
13.2.b. Comme	ents:			
·	chnology used in the hearing?	Nother), Reason (e.g. evidence, u	ser has disability) and E	UO ffect (e.g. no noticeable

Section 13: Your Presence

Section 15: General comments	(esp. whether IJ asks LR/HOPO to set out/clarify arguments, e.g. at outset of hearing/before submissions).

Timing Sheet T.a. Was an estimation of the length of the hearing discussed at beginning?	Y N UO	T.b. Estimated duration:
	Start time End time	None UO
T.1. Introduction (excl. 'bundle talk')	2000-001 NS 2000207-0-9 2000000 200000000000000000000000000	0.0956.000
T.2. Bundle Talk		
The first contract of the cont		
T.3. LR Examination		
T.4. HOPO Cross-examination		
T.5. LR Re-examination		
T.6. HOPO Re-examination		
T.7. Witness 1 LR Examination		
T.8. Witness 1 HOPO Cross-examination		
T.9. Witness 1 LR Re-examination		
T.10. Witness 1 HOPO Re-examination		
T.11. Witness 1 IJ Examination		
T.12. Witness 2 LR Examination		
T.13. Witness 2 HOPO Cross-examination		
T.14. Witness 2 LR-Re-examination		
T.15. Witness 2 HOPO Re-examination		
T.16. Witness 2 IJ Examination		
T.17. Witness 3 LR Examination		
T.18. Witness 3 HOPO Cross-examination		
T.19. Witness 3 LR-Re-examination		
T.20. Witness 3 HOPO Re-examination		
T.21. Witness 3 IJ Examination		
T.22. Expert LR Examination		
T.23. Expert HOPO Cross-examination		
T.24. Expert IJ Examination		
T.25. HOPO Submission		
T.26. LR Submission		
T.27. IJ Closing statement		
T.28. Lunch break		
T.29. Other substantive break		
T.30. Other substantive break		
T.31. IJ Examination (Esp. when no LR or IJ Enabling)		
T.32. IJ Re-examination (Esp. when no LR or IJ Enabling)		
T.33. Appellant Submissions (Esp. when no LR or IJ Enabling)		
1.33. Appendit Submissions (Esp. when no Lit of it chapling)		

T.34. Adjournment/withdrawal discussion (time to reach decision)