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Description of this file: Below is a selection of documents from sources primarily in the United States and the United Kingdom about the development of governance systems for security concerns around synthetic biology. The documents were selected because of their contribution to the ongoing discussion about synthetic biology security concerns, including being referenced in conversations and presentations I was part of or attended during the course of the grant (2013-2014).
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