

# METHODOLOGICAL REPORT

## **National Survey**

## **Country/region:** Russia

11/03/12

Prepared for the University of Glasgow and Australian National University

**R-Research Ltd.** 



## **Table of Contents**

BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
METHODOLOGY	
THE UNIVERSE	3
SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS	3
SAMPLE DESIGN	3
STRATIFICATION CRITERIA	4
Stage 1: Regions	
Stage 2: Settlements (PSU)	
Stage 3: Random Routes (SP)	
Stage 4: Households	9
Stage 5: Respondents	10
WEIGHTING	10
QUALITY CONTROL	11
Fieldwork Control	11
Data Control	11
THE INTERVIEW	11
DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS	11
FIELDWORK DETAILS	12
RESPONSE RATE	14
SAMPLE PERFORMANCE	17



#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

R-Research Ltd conducted the national survey in Russia for the Department of Politics of the University of Glasgow and School of Politics and International Relations, College of Arts and Social Sciences of Australian National University in 4-23 January 2012. The surveys was conducted among a nationally representative sample of 1600 residents age 18 and older and addressed public attitudes toward domestic economic and social issues, as well as international affairs.

#### METHODOLOGY

#### THE UNIVERSE

The survey sample was representative of the universe of 113796876 of which 84659892 urban and 29136984 of rural population aged 18+ in the Russian Federation in both European and Asian Russia.

#### SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

Sampling Frame:	Census, 2002, 2009
Representation:	National
Туре:	Multi-stage, stratified sample with the random route method of household selection and the last birthday method of the selection of respondents at the final stage
Size:	N=1600
PSUs (Settlements):	46 urban and 27 rural
Sampling points	321
Sex:	Both
Age:	18 +
Representative of:	region, sex, age and education <sup>1</sup>
Sample error <sup>2</sup> =+/- 2.	7

#### SAMPLE DESIGN

The sample was designed using the following stages:

Stage 1: Selection of RegionsStage 2: Selection of Settlements (PSU)Stage 3: Selection of Random Routes (SP)Stage 4: Selection of HouseholdsStage 5: Selection of Respondents within Households

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  The data were not weighted as no significant discrepancies were found between universe and sample.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The sample error is calculated without taking into account the effects of multistage sample design.



#### STRATIFICATION CRITERIA

The following criteria were used for the stratification of universe (in order of application):

- Region (as cluster of oblasts)
- Urban/rural population
- Type of settlement: rural settlements and five categories of urban settlements

#### STAGE 1: REGIONS

The territory of Russian Federation is divided into 7 regions (Territorial-Administrative Units) as used in the official statistics. Each Territorial - Administrative Unit is represented in the sample proportionally to its population size (Table1).

	Univers	e	Sampla
	All Russia 18+	Total %	Sample
Russia	113796876	100%	1600
Central Region	31016427	27%	432
North-West Region	11227674	10%	160
South Region	17206225	15%	240
Privolzhye Region	24239844	21%	336
Ural Region	9579740	8%	128
Siberian Region	15393922	14%	224
Far East Region	5133044	5%	80

Table 1: Sample Distribution by Regions

#### STAGE 2: SETTLEMENTS (PSU)

The total number of interviews in each survey region was allocated to 6 strata in proportion to the population of each stratum. The strata were defined on the basis of settlement size. The following strata were identified in each survey region:

*Stratum 1:* Cities with population of 1,000,000 plus *Stratum 2:* Cities with population of 500,000 – 999,900 *Stratum 3:* Cities with population of 100,000 – 499,900 *Stratum 4:* Towns with population of 50,000 – 99,900 *Stratum 5:* Small towns with population less than 50,000 *Stratum 6:* Rural location

Strata 1-5 comprise urban settlements, while Stratum 6 consists of rural ones.

Furthermore, the sample was stratified by the type of settlement (urban/rural) in each region (see Table 2).



	Total Russia 18+	Urban 18+		Rural 18+		Sample		
		Total	% of Total Region	Total	% of Total Region	Urban	Rural	Total
Russia	113796876	84659892	74.40%	29136984	25.60%	1190	410	1600
Central Region	31016427	24897655	80.30%	6118772	19.70%	347	85	432
North-West Region	11227674	9282409	82.70%	1945265	17.30%	132	28	160
South Region	17206225	10217571	59.40%	6988654	40.60%	143	97	240
Privolzhye Region	24239844	17346572	71.60%	6893272	28.40%	241	95	336
Ural Region	9579740	7805670	81.50%	1774070	18.50%	104	24	128
Siberian Region	15393922	11143933	72.40%	4249989	27.60%	162	62	224
Far East Region	5133044	3966082	77.30%	1166962	22.70%	62	18	80

#### Table 2: Sample Distribution by Settlement Type (Urban/Rural) within Region

The number of interviews allocated to each stratum is proportional to the share of this stratum in the population of the region (see Tables 3 and 4).

	All Russia Urban 18+	less 49,9	50-99,9	100-499,9	500-999,9	1,000 plus
Russia	106429049	25%	10%	27%	12%	26%
Central Region	30366977	23%	9%	26%	8%	33%
North-West	11502402	26%	70/	270/	0%	110/
Region	11502402	20%	770	2170	0%	4170
South Region	13166327	25%	12%	38%	9%	16%
Privolzhye Region	22047106	22%	11%	21%	20%	25%
Ural Region	9991785	31%	15%	25%	5%	24%
Siberian Region	14272877	26%	10%	27%	19%	18%
Far East Region	5081575	39%	11%	27%	23%	0%

Table 3: The Share of Urban Strata in Urban Population (18+) (thousands)

Table 4: Sample distribution across strata (18+) (thousands)

			τοται				
	1,000 plus	500-999,9	100-499,9	50-99,9	less 49,9	Rural	TOTAL
TOTAL	307	139	317	124	303	410	1600
Central Region	117	29	90	33	81	86	436
North-West Region	53	0	35	9	34	27	158
South Region	23	13	55	17	37	98	242
Privolzhye Region	62	49	52	26	54	97	341
Ural Region	26	6	27	17	34	25	135
Siberian Region	28	29	43	15	41	60	216
Far East Region	0	12	15	6	22	16	71



For each location (PSU) an approximate number of interviews was set to n, depending on the stratum, and the number of settlements for selection in each stratum in each region was calculated by dividing the number of interviews allocated to this stratum by the number of interview in one PSU set for this stratum (see Table 5).

Table 5: Number of interviews depending on Stratum.

Strata	Set number (approx.) of interviews per one PSU
<i>Stratum 1:</i> Cities with population of 1,000,000 plus	Selected by default
<i>Stratum 2:</i> Cities with population of 500,000 – 999,900	35
<i>Stratum 3:</i> Cities with population of 100,000 – 499,900	30
<i>Stratum 4:</i> Towns with population of 50,000 – 99,900	20
<i>Stratum 5:</i> Small towns with population less than 50,000	15
Stratum 6: Rural location	15

If in any given stratum the number of respondents was lower than minimum n, this stratum is combined with a higher-level stratum until the number of interviews reaches minimum n.

The following Table 6 shows the number of PSUs allocated to each stratum in each region.

Table 6: Distribution of PSUs across strata and regions (thousands)

	Rural	less 49,	50-99,9	100-499,9	500-999,9	1,000 plus
Central Region	6	5	2	3	1	1
North-West Region	2	2	0	1	0	1
South Region	7	2	1	2	0	1
Privolzhye Region	6	4	1	2	1	2
Ural Region	2	2	1	1	0	1
Siberian Region	4	3	1	1	1	1
Far East Region	1	1	0	1	0	0

Then the settlements are selected randomly according to the number of settlements allocated to each population strata within each region. While selecting remote urban settlements and rural settlements, the budget constrains have been taken in consideration.

This allocation resulted in the following sample Table 7.



Region	Oblast'	Settlement	Population	Strata	Ν
	Moskovskaja	Moskva		1,000 Plus	116
	Vladimirskaja	Vladimir	348256	100-499,9	30
	Vladimirskaja	Gus'-Hrustal'nyj	64036	50-99,9	16
	Vladimirskaja	Jur'ev-Pol'skij	19588	less than 49,9	16
	Vladimirskaja	Pavlovskoe		rural	14
	Voronezhskaja	Voronezh	889989	500-999,9	32
	Voronezhskaja	Borisoglebsk	65585	50-99,9	16
	Voronezhskaja	Novovoronezh	32635	less than 49,9	16
Control	Voronezhskaja	Novaja Usman'		rural	15
Central	Voronezhskaja	Devica		rural	15
	Kaluzhskaja	Kaluga	325185	100-499,9	30
	Kaluzhskaja	Malojaroslavec	30401	less than 49,9	16
	Kaluzhskaja	Peremyshl'		rural	14
	Kaluzhskaja	Zhiletovo		rural	14
	Jaroslavskaja	Rybinsk	200771	100-499,9	30
	Jaroslavskaja	Tutaev	41001	less than 49,9	16
	Jaroslavskaja	Gavrilov Jam	34505	less than 49,9	16
	Jaroslavskaja	Nikol'skoe		rural	14
TOTAL					436
	Leningradskaja	Sankt-Peterburg	4848742	1,000 Plus	58
	Leningradskaja	Slancy	33587	less than 49,9	17
North Wort	Leningradskaja	der. Suhodol'e		rural	14
North-west	Novgorodskaja	Velikij Novgorod	218724	100-499,9	38
	Novgorodskaja	Staraja Russa	31809	less than 49,9	17
	Novgorodskaja	Podberez'e		rural	14
TOTAL					158
	Krasnodarskij kraj	Temrjuk	22965	men'she 49,9	18
	Krasnodarskij kraj	Novotitorovskaja		rural	16
	Krasnodarskij kraj	Severskaja		rural	16
	Rostovskaja	Rostov-na-Donu	1089851	1,000 Plus	30
	Rostovskaja	Taganrog	257692	100-499,9	28
South	Rostovskaja	Morozovsk	27644	less than 49,9	18
South	Rostovskaja	Kuleshovka		rural	17
	Rostovskaja	Petrushino		rural	17
	Stavropol'skij kraj	Stavropol'	398266	100-499,9	25
	Stavropol'skij kraj	Nevinnomysk		50-99,9	25
	Stavropol'skij kraj	Verhnerusskoe		rural	16
	Stavropol'skij kraj	Grachevka		rural	16
TOTAL					242

### Table 7: Sample Distribution by Population Strata within Region



Region	Oblast'	Settlement	Population	Strata	Ν
	Nizhegorodskaja	Nizhnij Novgorod	1250615	1,000 Plus	60
	Nizhegorodskaja	Bor	75809	50-99,9	26
	Nizhegorodskaja	Semenov	24472	less than 49,9	14
	Nizhegorodskaja	Kalikino		rural	16
	Nizhegorodskaja	Burevestnik		rural	16
	Samarskaja	Tol'jatti	719514	500-999,9	49
	Samarskaja	Novokujbyshevsk	108449	100-499,9	26
Privolzhiye (Volga)	Samarskaja	Oktjabr'sk	27244	less than 49,9	15
	Samarskaja	Ekaterinovka		rural	17
	Samarskaja	Nikolaevka		rural	16
	Ul'janovskaja	Dimitrovgrad	122549	100-499,9	25
	Ul'janovskaja	Novoul'janovsk	16032	less than 49,9	15
	Ul'janovskaja	Barysh	17149	less than 49,9	14
	Ul'janovskaja	Urzhumskoe		rural	16
	Ul'janovskaja	Ozerki		rural	16
TOTAL					341
Urals	Sverdlovskaja	Ekaterinburg	1350136	1,000 Plus	28
	Sverdlovskaja	Asbest	68914	50-99,9	20
	Sverdlovskaja	Revda	61890	less than 49,9	18
	Sverdlovskaja	Borodulino		rural	11
	Cheljabinskaja	Magnitogorsk	408401	100-499,9	28
	Cheljabinskaja	Chebarkul'	42338	less than 49,9	16
	Cheljabinskaja	Bobrovka		rural	14
TOTAL					135
	Irkutskaja	Irkutsk	587225	500-999,9	29
	Irkutskaja	Cheremhovo	52650	less than 49,9	14
	Irkutskaja	Urik		rural	15
	Novosibirskaja	Novosibirsk	1473737	1,000 Plus	26
	Novosibirskaja	Berdsk	97288	50-99,9	17
Siberia	Novosibirskaja	Iskitim	60072	less than 49,9	14
	Novosibirskaja	Novolugovoe		rural	16
	Tomskaja	Seversk	108466	100-499,9	43
	Tomskaja	Asino	25614	less than 49,9	14
	Tomskaja	Zonal'naja stancija		rural	14
	Tomskaja	Kislovka		rural	15
TOTAL					217
	Primorskij kraj	Vladivostok	592069	500-999,9	19
Ear Eact	Primorskij kraj	Ussurijsk	157946	100-499,9	15
Fai-EdSt	Primorskij kraj	Fokino	23683	less than 49,9	22
	Primorskij kraj	Trudovoe		rural	16
TOTAL					72
SAMPLE TOTAL					1601

### Table 7 (cont): Sample Distribution by Population Strata within Region



#### STAGE 3: RANDOM ROUTES (SP)

#### Urban locations

(a) Administrative divisions (rajons) within settlements

In every selected urban settlement a list of all administrative divisions (rajons) was drawn to be used for the selection of SPs (random routes).

(b) Random Routes

At this stage routes (sampling points) were selected with equal probability within each selected rajon. The following procedure is applied: sampling points (routes) are selected systematically from an alphabetic list of all streets in the selected rajon with a random start and a selection interval which was calculated by dividing the number of all streets in the selected rajon by the number of sampling points (routes) allocated to the given settlement minus one. In large cities, routes were calculated depending on the type of districts (industrial, sleeping, mixed, etc.).

#### **Rural locations**

In a selected rural location an interviewer located a building/facility that is serving the public in those settlements (e.g. an administrative building, bus stop, school, etc.). That public building or facility then acted as the starting point for a random route.

#### STAGE 4: HOUSEHOLDS

As a rule, 5-7 interviews were conducted in each sampling points (route). Households were selected using the random route method with a pre-determined starting point.

The following rules for random selection of households were applied (only some of these rules are listed):

- In the first house, the selection of a household begins in the staircase number one, etc.
- In multi-storey houses floors are classified as lower, middle and high, and in the first multi-storey house the selection of a household begins at low floors, etc.
- When a floor is selected, the selection of a household begins with the left-most flat and continues anti-clock, etc.

In rural locations the selection of households began from the centre of a village (e.g. a bus stop, post-office, shop, etc.) and proceeded with the interval of 3 houses.

#### Rules of the next household selection (substitution)

A next household address was selected by the following rule:

- n+1 Impossible to make a contact with the household "n"
  - The household "n" refused to co-operate



n+5 - No one is available at the address "n", after up to <u>three</u> calls back are made to establish a contact;

- A respondent in the household "n" fits the control quota but was absent, after up to three calls back are made to establish a contact (only in the process of repairing the sample);

- A respondent was interviewed in the household "n"

#### STAGE 5: RESPONDENTS

In each household only one respondent was interviewed.

One male or female per household was interviewed who complies with the following criteria:

- Aged 18+;
- Agreed to participate;
- Had closest date of birthday to the date of an interview among all the members of the household (including those who are absent);

The following persons were not interviewed:

- Interviewer's relatives or acquaintances
- People who know each other, or who are relatives
- Guests, friends, etc. of the flat/house owner
- Those living in the hostels of any type
- Patients at hospitals, sanatoriums etc.

No substitution of respondents within household was allowed.

The survey was conducted on weekdays and weekends. On working days, the interviews were conducted between 16.00 and 22.00. On weekends, the interviews were conducted between 10:00 and 22:00.

THREE calls-back were made to the selected household in different day-parts to ensure that reasonable effort has been made to secure the interview before moving to the next household.

The average workload per interviewer: no more than 5% of the total number of interviews.

Each respondent was assigned a unique ID number, which identified location of each interview.

#### WEIGHTING

No weighting was applied as there were no significant discrepancies found between the sample and universe on the following distribution: region\*urban/rural\*age groups\*sex.



#### QUALITY CONTROL

#### FIELDWORK CONTROL

100% of the questionnaires were be visually checked by supervisors at the point of delivery by interviewers and 20% of all the respondents were be revisited by phone.

No irregularities were found.

#### DATA CONTROL

The workload on data-entry was about 100 questionnaires per each coder; 10% of all questionnaires were double punched. 100% of data were subjected to logical checks.

#### THE INTERVIEW

The National Survey was conducted using individual, in home, 'face-to-face' interviews. This is the standard method in Russia for interviews among the general population.

All interviewers were fully briefed on the following:

- Selection of respondents and the procedure for call backs and substitution
- Use of the questionnaire and details of filtering
- Questionnaire instructions including recording any open ended answers

All interviewers practiced conducting an interview at least five times before going into the field. All briefings were conducted by the regional field supervisors. Training was based on standard manuals and procedures, including presentation, mock interviews, field practices and tests.

Following completion of the interview, all interviewers sent the completed questionnaires, route schedules and notes to local supervisors, who then checked them, summarized and delivered them to regional supervisors for a final check before sending them to R-Research.

#### DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS

The codes from the questionnaires were entered into QPSMR software and then the integrated data-set was transferred into SPSS.



## FIELDWORK DETAILS

RUSSIA	_			
Fieldwork period	04.01.12-23.01.12			
Sampling points (Primary Sampling Units)	323			
Interview collected	Total	Male		Female
	1602	712		890
Number of interviewers	181			
Workload per one interviewer (min and max)	Min		Max	
workload per one interviewer (min and max)	3		30	
Average workload per one interviewer	9			

CENTRAL					
Fieldwork period	08.01.2012-23.01.12				
Sampling points (Primary Sampling Units)	90				
Interview collected	Total	Male		Female	
	436	197		239	
Number of interviewers	36				
Workload per ano interviewer (min and may)	Min		М	Max	
workload per one interviewer (min and max)	5		30		
Average workload per one interviewer	12				

NORTH-WEST				
Fieldwork period	10.01.2012-23.01.2012			2
Sampling points (Primary Sampling Units)	5			
Interview collected	Total	otal Male		Female
	158 71		87	
Number of interviewers	20			
Workload nor one interviewer (min and may)	Min Ma		ах	
workload per one interviewer (min and max)	3 17		7	
Average workload per one interviewer		7	7	

SOUTH				
Fieldwork period	04.01-14.01.2012			
Sampling points (Primary Sampling Units)	48			
	Total	Male		Female
	242	11	10	132
Number of interviewers	23			
Markland nor one interviewer (min and may)	Min Max		ах	
workload per one interviewer (min and max)	5 27		7	
Average workload per one interviewer	11			



PRIVOLGIYE (VOLGA)					
Fieldwork period	04.01.2012 - 23.01.2011			1	
Sampling points (Primary Sampling Units)	67				
	Total	Male		Female	
341 1		13	38	203	
Number of interviewers	42				
Workload per one interviewer (min and may)	Min Max		ах		
workload per one interviewer (min and max)	5		2	26	
Average workload per one interviewer	8				

URALS				
Fieldwork period	04.01-23.01.2012			
Sampling points (Primary Sampling Units)	28			
Interview collected	Total	Male		Female
	135	135 63		72
Number of interviewers	14			
Workload nor one interviewer (min and may)	Min		М	ах
workload per one interviewer (min and max)	5 2		5	
Average workload per one interviewer	10			

SIBERIA				
Fieldwork period	7.01.12 - 23.01.12			
Sampling points (Primary Sampling Units)	44			
Interview collected	Total	Male		Female
	217	99		118
Number of interviewers	36			
Workload per ano interviewer (min and may)	Min Max		ах	
workload per one interviewer (min and max)	4 14		4	
Average workload per one interviewer	6			

FAR-EAST				
Fieldwork period	10.01-23.01.2012			
Sampling points (Primary Sampling Units)	14			
Interview collected	Total	Male		Female
	72	34		38
Number of interviewers	10			
Workload per one interviewer (min and max)	(min and max) M		Max	
workload per one interviewer (min and max)	5 10		0	
Average workload per one interviewer	5			



#### RESPONSE RATE

RR1 (minimal response rate) as defined by "Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys, Revised 2009" by The American Association For Public Opinion Research.

Russia	50%
Reasons	N
(I) Interview achieved	1604
Reason of non-contact	
(R) Eligible respondent refused to cooperate	656
(NC) Entry cannot be gained (entrance locked)	665
(NC) Eligible household cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	224
(NC) Eligible respondent cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	91
Vacant property	14

Central	49%
Reasons	Ν
(I) Interview achieved	437
Reason of non-contact	
(R) Eligible respondent refused to cooperate	110
(NC) Entry cannot be gained (entrance locked)	265
(NC) Eligible household cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	46
(NC) Eligible respondent cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	25
Vacant property	1

North-West	47%
Reasons	N
(I) Interview achieved	158
Reason of non-contact	
(R) Eligible respondent refused to cooperate	84
(NC) Entry cannot be gained (entrance locked)	48
(NC) Eligible household cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	36
(NC) Eligible respondent cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	7
Vacant property	0



South	44%
Reasons	N
(I) Interview achieved	244
Reason of non-contact	
(R) Eligible respondent refused to cooperate	188
(NC) Entry cannot be gained (entrance locked)	95
(NC) Eligible household cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	15
(NC) Eligible respondent cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	10
Vacant property	13

Privolgiye (Volga)	56%
Reasons	N
(I) Interview achieved	341
Reason of non-contact	
(R) Eligible respondent refused to cooperate	74
(NC) Entry cannot be gained (entrance locked)	105
(NC) Eligible household cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	76
(NC) Eligible respondent cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	18
Vacant property	0

Urals	49%
Reasons	N
(I) Interview achieved	135
Reason of non-contact	
(R) Eligible respondent refused to cooperate	50
(NC) Entry cannot be gained (entrance locked)	53
(NC) Eligible household cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	25
(NC) Eligible respondent cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	11
Vacant property	0

Siberia	46%
Reasons	N
(I) Interview achieved	217
Reason of non-contact	
(R) Eligible respondent refused to cooperate	125
(NC) Entry cannot be gained (entrance locked)	83
(NC) Eligible household cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	26
(NC) Eligible respondent cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	20
Vacant property	0



Far-East	64%
Reasons	N
(I) Interview achieved	72
Reason of non-contact	
(R) Eligible respondent refused to cooperate	25
(NC) Entry cannot be gained (entrance locked)	16
(NC) Eligible household cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	0
(NC) Eligible respondent cannot be reached after 3 calls-back	0
Vacant property	0



## SAMPLE PERFORMANCE

			UNIV	'ERSE			SAMPLE							UNIVERSE-SAMPLE						
REGIONS	REGIONS Urban			Rural			Urban			Rural			Urban			Rural				
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total		
Central																				
18-24	15.6%	12.2%	13.7%	12.2%	9.3%	10.6%	15.9%	12.9%	14.2%	10.5%	10.4%	10.5%	-0.4%	-0.7%	-0.5%	1.7%	-1.2%	0.1%		
25-34	20.1%	16.0%	17.9%	16.3%	12.7%	14.4%	19.7%	16.5%	17.9%	10.5%	14.6%	12.8%	0.3%	-0.4%	-0.1%	5.8%	-1.9%	1.6%		
35-44	21.4%	18.5%	19.8%	21.7%	16.3%	18.7%	21.7%	19.1%	20.2%	28.9%	20.8%	24.4%	-0.3%	-0.5%	-0.4%	-7.3%	-4.6%	-5.7%		
45-54	19.6%	18.9%	19.2%	19.8%	15.6%	17.5%	19.7%	18.0%	18.8%	18.4%	18.8%	18.6%	-0.1%	0.9%	0.4%	1.4%	-3.1%	-1.1%		
55-64	11.5%	13.1%	12.4%	12.4%	13.8%	13.2%	11.5%	13.4%	12.5%	13.2%	10.4%	11.6%	0.0%	-0.3%	-0.2%	-0.8%	3.4%	1.5%		
65+	11.9%	21.2%	17.0%	17.6%	32.3%	25.7%	11.5%	20.1%	16.2%	18.4%	25.0%	22.1%	0.4%	1.1%	0.8%	-0.9%	7.3%	3.6%		
Total Central	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
North-West																				
18-24	17.3%	13.0%	14.9%	14.5%	10.2%	12.2%	15.5%	12.5%	13.8%	15.4%	13.3%	14.3%	1.8%	0.5%	1.0%	-0.9%	-3.2%	-2.1%		
25-34	20.0%	16.2%	17.9%	17.0%	13.5%	15.2%	22.4%	18.1%	20.0%	15.4%	13.3%	14.3%	-2.4%	-1.9%	-2.1%	1.6%	0.2%	0.9%		
35-44	20.9%	18.6%	19.7%	22.6%	18.2%	20.3%	19.0%	20.8%	20.0%	23.1%	13.3%	17.9%	2.0%	-2.2%	-0.3%	-0.4%	4.8%	2.4%		
45-54	19.9%	19.8%	19.9%	21.2%	18.0%	19.5%	22.4%	18.1%	20.0%	23.1%	20.0%	21.4%	-2.5%	1.8%	-0.1%	-1.9%	-2.0%	-1.9%		
55-64	11.1%	12.5%	11.9%	10.6%	12.1%	11.4%	12.1%	12.5%	12.3%	7.7%	13.3%	10.7%	-1.0%	0.0%	-0.4%	2.9%	-1.3%	0.7%		
65+	10.7%	19.8%	15.8%	14.0%	28.1%	21.5%	8.6%	18.1%	13.8%	15.4%	26.7%	21.4%	2.1%	1.8%	1.9%	-1.4%	1.4%	0.0%		
Total North-West	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
								South	า											
18-24	19.0%	15.3%	17.0%	16.5%	14.2%	15.3%	20.3%	13.8%	16.4%	13.6%	16.7%	15.3%	-1.3%	1.5%	0.6%	2.9%	-2.5%	0.0%		
25-34	19.8%	17.1%	18.3%	20.2%	17.7%	18.9%	18.6%	19.5%	19.2%	20.5%	18.5%	19.4%	1.2%	-2.4%	-0.9%	-0.2%	-0.8%	-0.5%		
35-44	20.2%	18.6%	19.3%	22.5%	19.7%	21.0%	22.0%	17.2%	19.2%	22.7%	20.4%	21.4%	-1.9%	1.4%	0.1%	-0.2%	-0.7%	-0.4%		
45-54	18.2%	17.8%	17.9%	17.4%	15.9%	16.6%	16.9%	18.4%	17.8%	15.9%	18.5%	17.3%	1.2%	-0.6%	0.1%	1.5%	-2.6%	-0.8%		
55-64	10.9%	12.5%	11.7%	10.4%	12.2%	11.4%	11.9%	11.5%	11.6%	13.6%	7.4%	10.2%	-1.0%	1.0%	0.1%	-3.2%	4.8%	1.2%		
65+	12.0%	18.7%	15.7%	13.0%	20.3%	16.9%	10.2%	19.5%	15.8%	13.6%	18.5%	16.3%	1.8%	-0.8%	-0.1%	-0.7%	1.8%	0.6%		
Total South	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		



			UNIV	/ERSE					SAN	1PLE		UNIVERSE-SAMPLE						
REGIONS		Urban		Rural			Urban			Rural			Urban			Rural		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
								Privolzh	skiy									
18-24	16.5%	14.0%	15.1%	12.4%	9.9%	11.1%	16.2%	13.4%	14.7%	15.9%	11.3%	13.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%	-3.5%	-1.4%	-2.3%
25-34	20.1%	16.7%	18.2%	18.1%	14.7%	16.3%	20.7%	17.9%	19.2%	18.2%	17.0%	17.5%	-0.6%	-1.2%	-1.0%	-0.1%	-2.3%	-1.2%
35-44	21.5%	19.2%	20.2%	24.3%	18.8%	21.4%	20.7%	20.1%	20.4%	20.5%	17.0%	18.6%	0.8%	-1.0%	-0.2%	3.9%	1.8%	2.8%
45-54	20.2%	19.3%	19.7%	19.2%	16.1%	17.5%	20.7%	18.7%	19.6%	20.5%	13.2%	16.5%	-0.6%	0.6%	0.1%	-1.2%	2.9%	1.0%
55-64	10.9%	12.1%	11.6%	11.1%	12.6%	11.9%	11.7%	11.2%	11.4%	9.1%	18.9%	14.4%	-0.8%	0.9%	0.1%	2.1%	-6.3%	-2.5%
65+	10.9%	18.8%	15.3%	14.8%	27.9%	21.8%	9.9%	18.7%	14.7%	15.9%	22.6%	19.6%	1.0%	0.1%	0.6%	-1.1%	5.3%	2.2%
Total Privolzhskiy	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ural																		
18-24	17.1%	14.6%	15.7%	14.0%	11.9%	12.9%	15.7%	15.3%	15.5%	15.4%	8.3%	12.0%	1.4%	-0.7%	0.3%	-1.4%	3.6%	0.9%
25-34	21.5%	17.9%	19.5%	18.4%	15.5%	16.9%	21.6%	20.3%	20.9%	23.1%	8.3%	16.0%	-0.1%	-2.5%	-1.4%	-4.7%	7.2%	0.9%
35-44	21.6%	19.2%	20.3%	23.6%	19.7%	21.5%	21.6%	16.9%	19.1%	23.1%	25.0%	24.0%	0.0%	2.3%	1.2%	0.5%	-5.3%	-2.5%
45-54	20.3%	19.7%	20.0%	21.4%	18.6%	19.9%	19.6%	20.3%	20.0%	23.1%	16.7%	20.0%	0.7%	-0.7%	0.0%	-1.7%	1.9%	-0.1%
55-64	10.0%	11.4%	10.8%	10.6%	11.9%	11.3%	11.8%	10.2%	10.9%	7.7%	16.7%	12.0%	-1.8%	1.3%	-0.1%	2.9%	-4.8%	-0.7%
65+	9.5%	17.2%	13.7%	12.1%	22.5%	17.6%	9.8%	16.9%	13.6%	7.7%	25.0%	16.0%	-0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	4.4%	-2.5%	1.6%
Total Ural	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Siberia	an									
18-24	18.7%	15.8%	17.1%	14.3%	12.3%	13.3%	17.8%	16.7%	17.2%	17.9%	12.5%	15.0%	0.9%	-0.8%	-0.1%	-3.5%	-0.2%	-1.7%
25-34	21.1%	17.7%	19.2%	18.4%	16.0%	17.1%	21.9%	16.7%	19.1%	17.9%	15.6%	16.7%	-0.8%	1.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%
35-44	20.6%	18.5%	19.5%	22.9%	19.9%	21.3%	20.5%	21.4%	21.0%	17.9%	18.8%	18.3%	0.1%	-2.9%	-1.6%	5.0%	1.1%	3.0%
45-54	19.7%	19.3%	19.5%	21.6%	19.3%	20.4%	20.5%	15.5%	17.8%	21.4%	15.6%	18.3%	-0.9%	3.9%	1.7%	0.2%	3.7%	2.1%
55-64	10.0%	11.5%	10.9%	10.3%	11.4%	10.9%	9.6%	11.9%	10.8%	10.7%	15.6%	13.3%	0.5%	-0.4%	0.0%	-0.4%	-4.3%	-2.5%
65+	9.8%	17.1%	13.8%	12.4%	21.1%	17.0%	9.6%	17.9%	14.0%	14.3%	21.9%	18.3%	0.2%	-0.7%	-0.2%	-1.8%	-0.8%	-1.3%
Total Siberian	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Far Ea	ist									
18-24	19.1%	14.9%	16.9%	19.8%	13.5%	16.7%	19.2%	13.3%	16.1%	25.0%	12.5%	18.8%	-0.1%	1.6%	0.8%	-5.2%	1.0%	-2.1%
25-34	21.7%	19.0%	20.2%	20.0%	18.2%	19.1%	23.1%	20.0%	21.4%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	-1.4%	-1.0%	-1.2%	7.5%	5.7%	6.6%
35-44	20.8%	18.9%	19.8%	21.7%	20.8%	21.2%	15.4%	20.0%	17.9%	25.0%	12.5%	18.8%	5.4%	-1.1%	2.0%	-3.3%	8.3%	2.5%
45-54	20.2%	20.7%	20.5%	20.3%	20.7%	20.5%	15.4%	23.3%	19.6%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4.8%	-2.6%	0.8%	-4.7%	-4.3%	-4.5%
55-64	10.9%	12.8%	11.9%	10.2%	12.2%	11.2%	19.2%	13.3%	16.1%	0.0%	25.0%	12.5%	-8.3%	-0.5%	-4.2%	10.2%	-12.8%	-1.3%
65+	7.4%	13.7%	10.7%	8.1%	14.7%	11.4%	7.7%	10.0%	8.9%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	-0.3%	3.7%	1.8%	-4.4%	2.2%	-1.1%
Total Far East	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%